



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/067,677	10/067,677 02/04/2002		Colleen A. Roe	1098-011/MMM	1098-011/MMM 7121	
21034	7590	11/12/2004		EXAMINER		
IPSOLON 805 SW BR		7. #2740	но, тне т			
PORTLAND, OR 97205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2126		

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/067,677	ROE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	The Thanh Ho	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ag						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 I	February 2002.					
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of the control o	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) b	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/067,677 Page 2

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application filed 2/4/2002.

2. Claims 1-21 have been examined and are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller U.S Patent No. 5,878,228 in view of Lipkin U.S Patent No. 6,721,747.

As to claim 1, Miller teaches a scalable agent service scheduling method (Fig. 10) to perform tasks (downloading data to the clients, lines 61-63 column 2) for plural client computation devices (client devices 14, line 56 column 4), the method comprising:

obtaining an isochronal table (PDU scheduling queue 32, line 23 column 10) of plural activation times over a recurring time period at which periodic tasks can be activated (... at periodic intervals, according to the minimum granularity of the timer 30 used by the PDU Scheduler 28, each timeslot 34 in the PDU scheduling queue 32 is checked, in sequence, for any download records 36 to be serviced..., lines 45-48 column 10), the isochronal table including a predefined time interval (time slots 34, Fig. 10) between each of the successive activation times (lines 26-35 column 10),

Art Unit: 2126

characterizing each periodic task as including an initial task and one or more successive tasks to be activated periodically (...each of the time slot 34 is a data structure formatted as a list of download records 36, one is executed before the another..., lines 27-28 column 10, Fig. 10), the initial task having an initial event time (download record 36 in time slot 34 is being serviced at a particular time, lines 45-48 column 10);

applying the initial event time of the initial task of each periodic task to a corresponding activation time in the isochronal table (...at periodic intervals, according to the minimum granularity of the timer 30 used by the PDU Scheduler 28, each timeslot 34 in the PDU scheduling queue 32 is checked, in sequence, for any download records 36 to be serviced..., lines 45-48 column 10);

determining a skipping interval representing a number of activation times in the isochronal table (multiple time slots 34 in the PDU scheduling queue 32 are being defined, lines 26-28 column 10) corresponding to the period at which each of the one or more successive tasks of a periodic task are to be activated periodically (...at periodic intervals, according to the minimum granularity of the timer 30 used by the PDU Scheduler 28, each timeslot 34 in the PDU scheduling queue 32 is checked, in sequence, for any download records 36 to be serviced..., lines 45-48 column 10),

storing at activation times determined by the skipping interval the one or more successive tasks of each periodic task to be activated periodically (download records 36 are stored in each of the time slots 34, Fig. 10),

Application/Control Number: 10/067,677

Art Unit: 2126

passing as one or more batches the tasks for each activation time for processing when the activation time occurs (...if there are download records 36 present, each download record 36 in turn is retrieved and serviced..., lines 53-54 column 10). Miller does not explicitly teach computer software agents.

Lipkin teaches (lines 2-19 column 138) a system of delivery information between a server and clients wherein multiple agents are used in order to send the data to the clients. It would have been obvious to apply the teachings of Lipkin to the system of Miller because the agents would provide a much more flexible and sophisticated manner when it comes to searching and discovering information to be sent to the clients as disclosed by Lipkin (lines 9-25 column 2).

As to claim 2, Miller as modified further teaches queuing independently from the isochronal table the tasks that are passed as a batch for each activation time for processing (queuing system, lines 26-35 column 10).

As to claim 3, Miller as modified further teaches providing selected non-periodic spontaneous tasks to plural client computation devices at respective ones of the activation times (...if the request is for a filesize, the available filesystem on the primary storage 20 is searched for the file. If the file is found, a filesize response is constructed by the Request Handler 26 and sent, containing the size of the file. If the file is not found, a filesize response is still constructed and sent, but contains a filesize of zero. It is noted that a file of size zero is not downloadable..., lines 15-21 column 9).

As to claim 4, Miller as modified further teaches the selected non-periodic spontaneous tasks correspond to spontaneous events (client request for filesize, line 15

Application/Control Number: 10/067,677

Art Unit: 2126

column 9) that are suitable for receiving delayed processing according to a predefined rule and are distinguished from spontaneous tasks that require immediate processing according to the predefined rule (lines 15-21 column 9).

As to claim 5, it is a method claim of claims 2 and 4. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claims 2 and 4 above.

As to claim 6, it is a method claim of claim 3. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 3 above.

As to claim 7, it is a method claim of claims 2 and 4. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claims 2 and 4 above.

As to claim 8, Miller does not explicitly teach the recurring time period of the isochronal table is one hour. However, Miller teaches (lines 45-52 column 10) the recurring time period of the scheduling table is based on a timer. The timer sets a minimum time for each timeslots in the scheduling queue to be checked, in sequence, for any download records to be serviced. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would conclude that the timer could be set to any particular time, may be one hour, before the next recurring time of the scheduling table.

As to claim 9, Miller does not explicitly teach the recurring time period of the isochronal table is 24 hours. However, Miller teaches (lines 45-52 column 10) the recurring time period of the scheduling table is based on a timer. The timer sets a minimum time for each timeslots in the scheduling queue to be checked, in sequence, for any download records to be serviced. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would

Application/Control Number: 10/067,677

Art Unit: 2126

conclude that the timer could be set to any particular time, may be 24 hours, before the next recurring time of the scheduling table.

As to claims 10-15, they are computer program product claims of claims 1-6, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-6 above.

As to claim 16, it is a system claim of claims 1 and 2. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claims 1 and 2 above. Miller as modified further teaches an isochronal scheduler (scheduler 28, line 3 column 9) and a dispatcher (each download record in turn is retrieved and serviced, lines 53-54 column 10).

As to claim 17, it is a system claim of claims 1-2 and 16. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-2 and 16 above.

As to claims 18-21, they are system claims of claims 1, 3-4 and 6, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1, 3-4 and 6 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to The Thanh Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3762. A voice mail service is also available for this number. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8:30 am – 5:00 pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2126

Commissioner for Patents

P.O Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or fax to:

- AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 872 9306.
- OFFICAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 872 9306.
- NON OFFICAL faxes should not be signed, please send to (571) 273 3762

TTH November 5, 2004

MENG ALT AN

SUPERVISORY PATER

MINER

TECHNOLUGY JEWILR 2100